

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/878,177	06/18/97	OTOMAWI		M	SOEI-5001
Г			\neg	EXAMINER	
HM22/0519 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS				CARLSON	N. K
1800 M STREE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON I	C 20036			1653	8
				DATE MAILED:	05/19/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	xaminer Group Art Unit		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover shee	t beneath the co	rrespondence address	
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3-	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAILING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defa Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st 	a reply within the statutory mi ult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	nimum of thirty (30) of from the mailing date	lays will be considered timely. of this communication .	
Status				
X Responsive to communication(s) filed on/-8-99				
☐ This action is FINAL.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1 			the merits is closed in	
Disposition of Claims				
Ø Claim(s)		is/are p	ending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s) 1, 3-19				
□ Claim(s)				
≥ Claim(s) 2	- A	is/are re	ejected.	
□ Claim(s)	- January Control	is/are o	bjected to.	
□ Claim(s)			ject to restriction or election	
Application Papers		require	nent.	
	ing Review, PTO-948.			
	ia	d C disapproved		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approve	u 🖂 disappioved		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj		• •		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	ected to by the Examine	• •		
 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 	ected to by the Examine	• •		
 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) 	ected to by the Examine	r.		
 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num 	ected to by the Examine under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(of the priority documents	r. a)-(d). s have been		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num ☐ received in this national stage application from the left.)	ected to by the Examine under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(of the priority documents ber)	r. a)-(d). s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num 	ected to by the Examine under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(of the priority documents ber)	r. a)-(d). s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(of the priority documents	r. a)-(d). s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(of the priority documents	r. a)-(d). s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).	·	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on	under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(of the priority documents) her) nternational Bureau (PC	r. a)-(d). s have been T Rule 1 7.2(a)).	·	

Serial Number 08/878177 Art Unit 1653

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, Claim 2 in Paper No. 5, filed January 8, 1999 is acknowledged. Claims 1 and 3-19 are withdrawn from further consideration because these claims are directed to non-elected inventions.

This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed. The drawings are objected to because of the objections cited on the attached PTOL 948. Correction is required.

Applicants are reminded that once the drawings are changed to meet the separate numbering requirements in the PTO-948, Applicants are required to file an amendment (under 37 CFR 1.312 if the drawings are changed after allowance) to change the Brief Description of the Drawings and the rest of the specification accordingly.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 depends from non-elected Claim 1, rendering the Claim indefinite. For examination purposes, Claim 2 has been taken to incorporate

Serial Number 08/878177 Art Unit 1653

the limitations of Claim 1.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dhordain et al. (1995; Mechanisms of Development 50:117-28). Dhordain et al. teaches the cDNA encoding c-erg. C-erg lacks amino acids 197-221 and 223-225 in the amino acid sequence depicted in SEQ ID NO:2 (C-11 protein. Further, this c-erg is shown in the specification to have calcification inhibitory activity. Therefore, the cDNA encoding c-erg anticipates the gene encoding the protein of Claim 1.

No Claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-0034. The Examiner can normally be reached daily except alternate Fridays from 7:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Bradley Sisson, can be reached at (703) 308-3978. The OFFICIAL fax phone number for Technology Center 1600 is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Karen Cochrane Carlson, Ph.D.
Primary Examiner

35

30

5

10

15

20

25